# **Appendix B - Equality Impact Assessment**

## **SUMMARY RAG RATING**

The outcome of this EIA has been assessed to be:



## **SECTION 1:**

Title	Proposed new Council Tax Reduction Scheme for 2023/24
<ul> <li>What are you analysing?</li> <li>What is the policy/project/activity/strategy looking to achieve?</li> <li>Who is it intended to benefit? Are any specific groups targeted by this decision?</li> <li>What results are intended?</li> </ul>	Slough is proposing a 9.99% increase in its Council Tax in 2023/24 after receiving dispensation from Government to charge 5% more than other councils without the need for a referendum.  In the correspondence from the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities, officials confirmed that Ministers were conscious of the effects of a large increase in Council Tax on taxpayers in Slough, especially those on lower incomes.  The Governments expectation is that the Council takes steps to mitigate the impact on those least able to pay and acknowledges the steps taken by the Council to protect those on the lowest income and in receipt of Council Tax Support.  Slough is proposing to add £1.2m in additional funding to the Council Tax Support Scheme for 2023/24 to target additional support to those working age households and revising certain aspects of its current scheme to make it simpler to understand and easier to administer.  The government has provided Slough with an additional £0.253m in additional funding to support vulnerable households with Council Tax and Slough is proposing to add £0.040m to the Council Tax Hardship Fund. These additional funds will ensure no current recipient of Council Tax Support will be worse off should their circumstances remain the same
Details of the lead person completing the screening/EIA	as 2022/23.  (i) Full Name: Andy Jeffs (ii) Position: Interim Head of Transactions - Revenues, Benefits and Charges (iii) Service Area: Finance (iv) Email Contact Details: andy.jeffs@slough.gov.uk (v) Date: 17/02/2023
Date sent to Finance	21/02/2023
Version number and date of update	1

#### **SECTION 2:**

#### 2.1 Please provide an overview of who uses/will use your service or facility and identify who are likely to be impacted by the proposal • If you do not formally collect data about a particular group then use the results of local surveys or consultations, census data, national trends or anecdotal evidence (indicate where this is the case). Please attempt to complete all boxes. Consider whether there is a need to consult stakeholders and the public, including members of protected groups, in order to gather information on potential impacts of the proposal **Equality Characteristic Current or expected** Over-represented or make up of service **Under-represented** relative to overall size users in local population? Sex There is a higher Certain household proportion of male types are more likely to claimants than female be female, for instance compared to sole parent households. population size. Data not available. Data not available. Ethnicity Disability There is some data to Some individuals with a indicate that claimants disability may be less with a disability are a able to work or earn higher proportion than less meaning they are more likely to be a the population size. claimant. Sexual orientation Data not available. Data not available. Claimants are split into Working age adults are Age working age and more impacted than pension age due to the pension age adults. different schemes in place. Religion or belief Data not available. Data not available. Data not available. Data not available. Gender Identity Pregnancy/Maternity Data not available. Women who are pregnant or on maternity leave may be more likely to be a claimant, but there is no data to confirm this. Marriage/Civil Partnership Data not available. Households who are couples, especially those with children, are potentially impacted to a greater extent,

2.2 Are there any groups with protected	part makes	provision for n	on-working age cl	laimants which	however there is no data to indicate whether these households are married or in a civil partnership.  Its of two parts. The first is prescribed on a
characteristic that are overrepresented in the monitoring information relative to their size of	scheme mak discretion o	kes provision fon.	or working-age cla	aimants which	s. The second part of the the Council do have
the population? If so, this could indicate that the proposal may have a			amend it's curre he lowest income		provide additional
disproportionate impact on this group even if it is a universal service.	have to pay additional fu of if their cir	more in Counc unding to off-se cumstances ha	il Tax. In mitigation et this increase in ave not changed.	on the Council 2023/24 so th	es in the scheme will proposes using at no claimant is worse
2.3 Are there any groups	We will furt	her consult on	the scheme for 2	024/25.	
with protected	N/A				
characteristics that are					
underrepresented in the					
monitoring information					
relative to their size of the population? If so, this					
could indicate that the					
service may not be					
accessible to all groups or					
there may be some form					
of direct or indirect					
<ul><li>discrimination occurring.</li><li>2.4 Does the project, police</li></ul>	v or proposa	I have the note	ontial to disprop	artionatoly im	nact on poonlo with a
protected characterist				or tionately iiii	pact on people with a
•	<u> </u>				
		None	Positive	Negative	Not sure
Men or women					
People of a particular					
ethnicity (including reasylum seekers, migra	•				
gypsies and travellers					
Disabled <sup>1</sup> people (cor			$\square$		
different types of physical,			<u>K—3</u>		
learning or mental di	sabilities)				
People of particular s	exual				
orientation/s					

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Disability discrimination is different from other types of discrimination since it includes the duty to make reasonable adjustments.

	People in particular age groups		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$				
	(consider in particular children, under 21s and over 65s)							
	People who are intending to							
	undergo, are undergoing or							
	have undergone a process or part of a process of gender							
	reassignment							
	Impact due to pregnancy/ maternity		$\boxtimes$					
	People of particular faiths and beliefs							
	People on low incomes*		$\boxtimes$					
<b>I</b>	*People with in some equality groups are more likely to be on low incomes (e.g. single parents (mainly women), people with disabilities, some ethnic minority groups etc). This is especially important when you are looking at fees, charges and access to services.							
V V	vomen), people with disabilities, vou are looking at fees, charges ar	some ethnic mind access to ser	nority groups etc		important when			
v	vomen), people with disabilities,	some ethnic mind access to ser	nority groups etc		important when			
V V	vomen), people with disabilities, vou are looking at fees, charges ar	some ethnic mind access to ser	nority groups etc		important when			
V V	vomen), people with disabilities, ou are looking at fees, charges ar Based on your responses, shoul	some ethnic mi nd access to ser d a full, detaile	inority groups etc vices. d EIA be carried o		important when			
2.5	yomen), people with disabilities, fou are looking at fees, charges are Based on your responses, should Yes No Provide brief reasons on how you The modelling as shown that 2,66	some ethnic mind access to send a full, detailed to have come to have	inority groups etch vices. d EIA be carried on this decision?	ut on the project,	policy or proposal			
2.5	Yes No The modelling as shown that 2,6c changes to the scheme. It has also	some ethnic mind access to ser d a full, detailed but have come to 63 non-working so shown that o	inority groups etch vices. d EIA be carried on this decision? g age claimants will ut of the remainin	ut on the project,  I not be impacted I g 6,606 working ag	policy or proposal  by the proposed ge claimants, 5,357			
2.5	yomen), people with disabilities, fou are looking at fees, charges are Based on your responses, should Yes No Provide brief reasons on how you The modelling as shown that 2,66	ou have come to shown that o shown that o	this decision?  gage claimants will ut of the remaining able households common to the	ut on the project,  I not be impacted I g 6,606 working ag urrently paying 209	policy or proposal  by the proposed ge claimants, 5,357 gaying nothing			
2.5	Yes No The modelling as shown that 2,60 changes to the scheme. It has als will be better off, with 3,552 of tunder the new scheme. The modellimants will have more to pay.	ou have come to the most vulnera delling also show the Council will	this decision?  gage claimants will ut of the remaining able households cover that without ad	I not be impacted I g 6,606 working ag urrently paying 20% ditional help 1,249	by the proposed ge claimants, 5,357 for paying nothing working-age			
2.5	Yes No The modelling as shown that 2,6 changes to the scheme. It has als will be better off, with 3,552 of t under the new scheme. The model is not shown to the model in the scheme. The model is not shown to the scheme.	ou have come to the most vulnera delling also show the Council will	this decision?  gage claimants will ut of the remaining able households cover that without ad	I not be impacted I g 6,606 working ag urrently paying 20% ditional help 1,249	by the proposed ge claimants, 5,357 for paying nothing working-age			

If the answer in 2.5 above is "No" then sections 3 and 4 are not required to be completed.

#### **SECTION 3: ASSESSING THE IMPACT**

In order to be able to identify ways to mitigate any potential impact it is essential that we know what those potential impacts might be. Using the evidence gathered in section 2, explain what the potential impact of your proposal might be on the groups you have identified. You may wish to further supplement the evidence you have gathered using the table below in order to properly consider the impact.

	Protected Group eliminate discrimination		Positive im	pact?	Negative impact? If	No specific impact	If the impact is negative how can it be mitigated? Please specify any	What , if any, are the cumulative effects of this decision when viewed in
Protected 6			Advance equality	Good relations	so, please specify the nature and extent of that impact	Шрасс	mitigation measures and how and when they will be implemented	the context of other Council decisions and their equality impacts
	Men		Yes		Yes		A large proportion of CTRS recipients are men. Some claimants would be better off and some worse off without any mitigation. Any reduction in CTRS in 2023/24 will be mitigated via the council tax hardship fund.	The Council is conscious of the impact of its decisions as a whole on those households on low income. There is no evidence to show that this will impact men more than women.
Gender	Women		Yes		Yes		A proportion of CTRS recipients are female single parents. Some claimants would be better off and some worse off without any mitigation. Any reduction in CTRS in 2023/24 will be mitigated via the council tax hardship fund.	The Council is conscious of the impact of its decisions as a whole on women, particularly as they are more likely to be a sole carer of children and access specific services more than men. It is therefore important to mitigate the impact for those households who are on low incomes.

Race	White			Yes	There is no evidence to show the impact on specific ethnic groups, although some may be more likely to be on low incomes and claiming support. The mitigation will ensure that households on low incomes, who may be more likely to be of a certain ethnic group, are supported.	
	Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups			Yes		
	Asian/Asian British			Yes		
	Black/African/Caribbean/ Black British			Yes		
	Gypsies / travellers			Yes		
	Other ethnic group			Yes		
Disability	Physical	Yes	No		The 975 residents in receipt of CTRS with a stated disability will all pay less Council Tax in 2023/24 than in 2022/23. The nature of the disability is not always known, but this applies to all categories of disability.	As individuals with disability will be more likely to access certain council services than the population as a whole, it is important to ensure that there is mitigation in place to support those who are on low incomes.
	Sensory	Yes	No			
	Learning Difficulties	Yes	No			
	Learning Disabilities	Yes	No			
	Mental Health					

			Positive impact?			No specific	What will the impact be? If the impact is negative how	What are the cumulative effects
Protected Gr	oup	Eliminate discrimination	Advance equality	Good relations	Negative impact?	impact	can it be mitigated? (action)	
Sexual Orientation	Lesbian, gay men, bisexual					Yes		
Age	Older people (50+)		Yes		Yes		The impact of the proposed change will affect working age people as opposed to those of pension age.  The government have recognised that low-income pensioners cannot be expected to increase their income through paid work and therefore are protected from any reduction in CTRS.  Some claimants will be better off and some worse off. Any reduction in CTRS in 2023/24 will be mitigated via the hardship fund.	
	Younger people (16 - 25)		Yes		Yes		There may be an adverse effect on those under 25 as they receive reduced amounts of benefit based on age.  This should not impact many people. Care leavers are exempt from Council Tax. Any	

				reduction in CTRS in 2023/24 will be mitigated.	
Children (under 16)	Yes	Yes		Children of low-income families may also be adversely affected if their parent(s) have to find additional money to cover a reduced CTRS amount.  Our CTRS scheme will continue to provide a more generous means test for those with dependent children or young persons.	
Gender Reassignment			Yes		
Impact due to pregnancy/maternity			Yes		
Groups with particular faiths and beliefs			Yes		
People on low incomes	Yes	Yes		Our modelling indicates 1,249 households will receive less in CTRS in 2023/24.  5,357 households on the lowest income will see an increase in the CTRS in 2023/24. 3,552 will pay no Council Tax a reduction of 20%.  Any reduction in CTRS in 2023/24 will be mitigated.	

#### **SECTION 4: ACTION PLAN**

4.1 Complete the action plan if you need to reduce or remove the negative impacts you have identified, take steps to foster good relations or fill data gaps.

Please include the action required by your team/unit, groups affected, the intended outcome of your action, resources needed, a lead person responsible for undertaking the action (inc. their department and contact details), the completion date for the action, and the relevant RAG rating: R(ed) – action not initiated, A(mber) – action initiated and in progress, G(reen) – action complete.

NB. Add any additional rows, if required.

Action Required	Equality Groups Targeted	Intended outcome	Resources Needed	Name of Lead, Unit & Contact Details	Completion Date (DD/MM/YY)	RAG
Increase funding in the council tax hardship fund to support those who will have received less support in 2023/24 due to the changes to the scheme.	Age, sex, disability, ethnicity.	To ensure households on low income are supported.	Additional funding some of which has been provided by the Government for council tax hardship funds.	Andy Jeffs — Finance — Transactions — andy.jeffs@slough.gov.uk	Incorporated into decision on CTSS.	Green
Liaison with Slough CAB to establish what scope they have to support affected residents who may require advice and budgeting support. This should include targeted support for those who may have communication difficulties, including English	Age, sex, disability, ethnicity	To ensure households have access to support and guidance	None	Andy Jeffs – Finance – Transactions – andy.jeffs@slough.gov.uk	31/03/23	Green

not being first language or due to disability.						
Review the Council Tax recovery process for those in receipt of CTRS	Age, sex, disability, ethnicity.	To ensure recovery action and additional costs are minimised	None	Andy Jeffs – Finance – Transactions – andy.jeffs@slough.gov.uk	31/03/23	Green
Provide training to Revenues, Benefits and Customer Services on changes to include signposting to other help available	Age, sex, disability, ethnicity.	To ensure residents receive the best service and are aware of additional help	None	Andy Jeffs – Finance – Transactions – andy.jeffs@slough.gov.uk	31/03/23	Green
Monitor and review the impact of the changes to the scheme for 2023/24 and diarise a further consultation and review of the CTRS scheme for 2024/25	Age, sex, disability, ethnicity.	To ensure the impact of changes to the scheme are identified and fed into the review for 2024/25	None	Andy Jeffs – Finance – Transactions – andy.jeffs@slough.gov.uk	30/06/23	Green

# Appendix A Equality Impact Assessment Decision Rating Guide PLEASE SEE PAGE 1 FOR THE RATING OF THIS PROPOSAL

Decision	Action	Risk
As a result of performing the EIA, there is a risk that a disproportionately negative impact (direct, indirect, unintentional or otherwise) exists to one or more of the nine groups of people who share a protected characteristic under the Equality Act 2010. It is not clear if mitigating actions are possible.	Further advice should be taken	Red
As a result of performing the EIA, there is a risk that a disproportionately negative impact (as described above) exists to one or more of the nine groups of people who share a protected characteristic under the Equality Act 2010. However, this risk may be removed or reduced by implementing mitigating actions.	Proceed pending agreement of mitigating action	Amber
As a result of performing the EIA, the proposal does not appear to have any disproportionate negative impact on people who share a protected characteristics or anticipated impacts will be either positive or neutral.	Proceed	Green: